## 2008 GENERAL SESSION FISCAL NOTE WORKSHEET XI (Revised Jan. 2008)

Agency: <u>Utal</u>	State Office of Education		Bill Number	HB 11	13	
TITLE OF BILL:	School Finance Disclosures					
Requested by: Patrick Lee			Fax/Electronic Mail Transmittal To:			
Office of the Legislative Fiscal Analyst			Name:			
W310 State Capitol Con	•					
Salt Lake City, UT 841	-	-	Date:			
538-1034 / Fax 538-169	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-			
			Fax Number:			
Please return to Fiscal	Analyst by: January 14,	2008				
This Bill Takes Effect:	On passage On J	uly 1 X	60 Days after s	ession	Other	
Bill Carries Own Appro	priation:		•		<u> </u>	
	FISCAL IMPACT OI	F PRAPAS	FD LEGISI	LATION		
	FISCAL IVII ACT OF		2008 Supp.	FY 2009	FY 2010	
A. REVENIJE IMPAC	CT BY SOURCE OF FUNDS	<u> </u>	2008 Supp.	F 1 2009	F 1 2010	
1. General Fund	JI BI BOOKEL OF TONES					
2. Uniform School Fund	1 - Education Fund					
3. Transportation Fund	Lucation I und				-	
4. Collections						
5. Other Funds (List Be	low		\$5,900,000	\$2,020,000	\$2,020,000	
,	,		\$5,900,000	\$2,020,000	\$2,020,000	
Local School Distric	et Funas					
6 Local Funds					<del>                                     </del>	
7. TOTAL		\$	5,900,000	\$ 2,020,000	\$ 2,020,000	
1. General Fund 2. General Fund, Or	ne Time Fund - Education Fund					
3. Transportation Fu					-	
4. Collections	illa	<del></del>			-	
	- Dalam)				-	
5. Other Funds (List	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		¢5 000 000	\$2,020,000	\$2,020,000	
Local School District	runus		\$5,900,000	\$2,020,000	\$2,020,000	
6. Local Funds						
7. TOTAL		\$	5,900,000	\$ 2,020,000	\$ 2,020,000	
By Expenditure Categ					T	
1. Salaries, Wages a	and Benefits		\$2,020,000	\$2,020,000	\$2,020,000	
2. Travel						
3. Current Expenses						
4. D.P. Current Exp	enses					
<ol><li>Capital Outlay</li></ol>						
6. D.P. Capital Outl	•					
7. Other (Specify) I	Programming costs		\$3,880,000			
8. TOTAL		\$	5,900,000	\$ 2,020,000	\$ 2,020,000	
	DE VEADO					
C. IMPACT IN FUTU						
	e first two years, indicate any im, t beyond the first two years. (Us				any significant	
Von Hortin	Audit/Finance Spec.	USOE		538-7670	1/14/08	
Prepared By	Title	Agency		Phone #		
r repared by	1 IUC	Agency		1 110110 #	Date	

Bill Number:	HB 113	Bill Title: School Finance Disclosures
	tions of the Bill 6, 32-33, and li	That Will Generate the Additional Workload or Cost Increase ne 55
E. Expenditure	Impact Details	(Ties to totals in Section C)
List number, ty List details of a List additional (USE ATTACE it would cost to of reporting ex because of the	other impacted enterprise impacted enterprise impacted enterprise impacted enterprise impacted enterprise impacted enterprise implement this penditures at the short implement	y and/or assumptions used in determining need for workload and cost increase. ges of personnel required, including benefits. Expenditure categories as shown in Section C. The sents and cost associated with requirements of this bill.  SESSARY.) We surveyed the school districts and charter schools for their estimation of what bill. Because each school district or charter school has their own fiscal system and method school level, the estimate needs to be made by each entity. Much of the first year cost is ation deadline from line 28. With a longer implementation date it would cost much less. available upon request. A summary is on the next tab labeled "Survey Summary."
F. No Fiscal Im	npact or Will No	ot Require Additional Appropriations?
Specify how yo		to fiscal impact on your agency or institution.  workloads, resources, or funding sources to eliminate need for additional appropriations.  EESSARY.) NA
G. If Bill Carri	es Its Own App	ropriation:
Are there futu	re additional cos , therefore it wo	riated is adequate to meet the purposes of the bill.  sts anticipated beyond the appropriation in the bill? The bill carried no uld need to come from local district funds, which imposes immense pressure upon the
H. Impact on L	ocal Governme	nts, Businesses, Associations, and Individuals
Specify require Indicate costs of there areas that Local Governmearly implement available resond to meet the requirement.	ements in the bill or savings that a at potentially counents: Local Sc ntation date is so arces would not be quirements of the	that drive the impact on local governments.  re DIRECT and MEASURABLE. If direct and measurable data are not available, are ld have a fiscal impact? (USE ATTACHMENT IF NECESSARY.)  hool Districts and Charter Schools would be left to bear the entire cost of this bill. The mething that greatly inflates the costs. Most school districts responded that currently be able to pick up the entire burden of this cost so a major portion is in additional personnel bill.  Additional taxes would need to be levied or services to students would need to be reduced

This is a draft fiscal note response from the Utah State Office of Education (USOE) and may be revised in the future. This fiscal note input draft does not imply endorsement of this bill by the State Board of Education or USOE.